Reply to Office Action of February 16, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-21 and 23-31 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, the title, Abstract, and claims 1-21, 23 and 24 are amended, and claims 25-30 are added. Claim 22 was withdrawn from consideration by the Examiner, and thus, merely to expedite prosecution of the application, claim 22 is cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Support for the claims can be found throughout the specification, including the original claims, and the drawings. Withdrawal of the rejections in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

I. Restriction Requirement

Applicant maintains the traversal of the Restriction Requirement set forth in the Patent Office Communication dated December 12, 2005. However, merely to expedite prosecution of the application, Applicant has canceled non-elected claim 22 without prejudice or disclaimer.

II. <u>Title</u>

The Office Action objects to the title, alleging that the title of the invention is not descriptive. It is respectfully submitted that the amendments to the title submitted herewith are responsive to the Examiner's comments, and thus the objection should be withdrawn.

III. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

The Office Action rejects claims 1-21, 23 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over U.S. Patent No. 6,704,586 to Park. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Serial No. 10/607,551 Amdt. dated May 10, 2006 Reply to Office Action of February 16, 2006

Independent claim 1 recites a camera which is configured to extend out of the camera installing part when the folder part is rotated away from the body part, and to be retracted into the camera installing part when the folder part is rotated toward the body part. Independent claim 18 recites a camera which is configured to move such that the camera is positioned outside of the camera holder when the first body part and the second body part are at a first predetermined angle relative to one another, and which is positioned within the camera holder when the first body part and the second body part are at a second predetermined angle relative to one another. Independent claim 24 recites retractably extending a camera outside the mobile communication terminal as the folder moves to the open position. Park neither discloses nor suggests at least such features, or the claimed combination of the features.

Park discloses radio telephone including a folder 20 rotatably coupled to a main body 10 by a hinge device 30. The hinge device 30 includes first and second side hinge arms 111 and 112 which extend from the main body 10, and a center hinge arm 211 which extends from the folder 20. A lens assembly 40 including a lens 401 is rotatably coupled to the first side hinge arm 111 such that the lens assembly 40 may rotate about an axis A together with the center hinge arm 211 and relative to the first side hinge arm 111. When the folder 20 is in a closed position relative to the main body 10, the lens assembly 40 and lens 401 are positioned between the folder 20 and the main body 10, and thereby protected from environmental effects by the folder

Serial No. **10/607,551** Amdt. dated <u>May 10, 2006</u>

Reply to Office Action of February 16, 2006

20 and the main body 10 when not in use. As the folder 20 is rotated to an open position relative to the main body 10, the lens assembly 40 and lens 401 are exposed.

The camera lens assembly 40 and lens 401 can <u>rotate about the axis</u> A within the hinge device 30 so as to adjust an angular orientation of the lens 401. However, Park neither discloses nor suggests that the camera lens assembly 40, or any portion thereof, may move <u>longitudinally along the axis A</u>, and thus necessarily neither discloses nor suggests that such a longitudinal movement allows the lens assembly 40, or any portion thereof, to protrude or extend outward from any portion of the terminal, or retracted into the terminal, as recited in independent claim 1. Likewise, Park neither discloses nor suggests that the camera lens assembly 40 or any portion thereof is at any point positioned outside of the terminal, as recited in independent claims 18 and 24.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1, 18 and 24 are not anticipated by Park and thus the rejection of independent claims 1, 18 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over Park should be withdrawn. Dependent claims 2-17, 19-21 and 23 are allowable at least for the reasons set forth above with respect to independent claims 1 and 18, from which they respectively depend, as well as for their added features.

IV. New Claims 25-31

New claims 25-31 are added to the application. It is respectfully submitted that new claims 25-31 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, and are allowable at least for the reasons

Serial No. **10/607,551**

Amdt. dated May 10, 2006

Reply to Office Action of February 16, 2006

set forth above with respect to independent claims 1, 18 and 24, from which they respectively

depend, as well as for their added features.

V. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the

application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes

would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact

the undersigned, JOANNA K. MASON, at the telephone number listed below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is

hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this,

concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and

please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

FLESHNER & KIM, LLP

Daniel Y.J. Kim

Registration No. 36,186

Joanna K. Mason

Registration No. 56,408

P.O. Box 221200

Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200

(703) 766-3701 DYK:JKM/cah

Date: May 10, 2006

\\fk4\Documents\2041\2041-006\87445.doc

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610